

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

United States of America,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

State of Arizona and Janice K. Brewer,  
Governor of The State of Arizona, In  
Her Official Capacity,

Defendants-Appellants.

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States  
District Court for the District of  
Arizona

No. CV 10-1413-PHX-SRB

**MOTION PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT RULES 27-12 AND 34-3  
TO EXPEDITE BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE FOR  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION APPEAL**

John J. Bouma (Ariz. Bar #001358)  
Robert A. Henry (Ariz. Bar #015104)  
Joseph G. Adams (Ariz. Bar #018210)  
SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.  
One Arizona Center  
400 E. Van Buren  
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202  
Phone: (602) 382-6000  
Fax: (602) 382-6070  
jbouma@swlaw.com  
bhenry@swlaw.com  
jgadams@swlaw.com

Joseph A. Kanefield (Ariz. Bar #015838)  
Office of Governor Janice K. Brewer  
1700 W. Washington, 9th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
Telephone: (602) 542-1586  
Fax: (602) 542-7602  
jkanefield@az.gov

Attorneys for Defendants-Appellants Janice K. Brewer, Governor of the State of  
Arizona, and the State of Arizona

Pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rules 27-12 and 34-3, Defendants-Appellants move the Court for an order expediting the briefing and hearing schedule for this preliminary injunction appeal. The U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona has issued a preliminary injunction enjoining certain provisions of the “Support Our Law Enforcement and Safe Neighborhoods Act,” as amended (“SB 1070” or the “Act”), which would have become effective today, July 29, 2010. The sole issue for this Court to consider on appeal is “whether the district court relied on an erroneous legal premise or abused its discretion.” *Gregorio v. Wilson*, 54 F.3d 599, 600 (9th Cir. 1995). Defendants-Appellants propose the following briefing and hearing schedule for this appeal:

- Opening Brief due August 12, 2010
- Response Brief due August 26, 2010
- Reply Brief due September 2, 2010
- Oral Argument, week of September 13, 2010

### **GROUND FOR MOTION**

This appeal involves an issue of significant importance—the State of Arizona’s right to implement a law its Legislature enacted to address the irreparable harm Arizona is suffering as a result of unchecked unlawful immigration. Governor Brewer signed SB 1070 into law on April 30, 2010. On July 6, 2010, Plaintiff-Appellee commenced the underlying action challenging the

constitutionality of SB 1070 and filed and served its 53-page Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Issuance of an Expedited Briefing Schedule. *See* Minute Entry, dated July 7, 2010, attached as Exhibit A. The district court granted Plaintiff-Appellee's request for an expedited briefing schedule on its Motion for Preliminary Injunction, requiring Defendants-Appellants to file their response by 5:00 p.m. on July 20, 2010 and setting the hearing for July 22, 2010. *See id.* On July 28, 2010, the district court entered its Order granting in part and denying in part Plaintiff-Appellee's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction and enjoining the enforcement of Sections 3 and 6 of SB 1070 and portions of Sections 2 and 5. *See* July 28, 2010 Order, attached as Exhibit B.

Good cause exists to expedite this appeal under Ninth Circuit Rules 27-12 and 34-3 and 28 U.S.C. § 1657 because it is an appeal of a preliminary injunction enjoining several key provisions of SB 1070 that the Arizona Legislature determined were critical to address serious criminal, environmental, and economic problems Arizona has been suffering as a consequence of illegal immigration and the lack of effective enforcement activity by the federal government. An expedited briefing schedule will not unreasonably burden the parties because it is consistent with the expedited briefing schedule Plaintiff-Appellee received for the initial ruling on its Motion for Preliminary Injunction, the issues on appeal are narrower than those the district court addressed and have largely been briefed by the parties,

and the parties are well represented with sufficient counsel to brief the issues under the schedule Defendants-Appellants have proposed.

### **STATUS OF TRANSCRIPT**

The district court has held hearings on three lawsuits challenging the constitutionality of SB 1070. Defendants-Appellants currently have uncertified copies of the transcripts for all hearings and are in the process of obtaining the certified copies.

### **OPPOSING COUNSEL'S POSITION**

Counsel for Defendants-Appellants has contacted counsel for Plaintiff-Appellee with respect to this request for an expedited briefing schedule. Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellee has stated that it is considering the request.

### **CONCLUSION**

Good cause exists to expedite Defendants-Appellants' appeal of the preliminary injunction the district court issued enjoining the enforcement of certain provisions of SB 1070. The expedited schedule is consistent with the expedited briefing schedule Plaintiff-Appellee received at the district court level and the proposed schedule is both reasonable and critical given the importance of the issues presented and the fact that the citizens of Arizona are suffering irreparable harm in terms of their health, safety, and welfare every day that the injunction remains in force.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of July, 2010.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

s/John J. Bouma

John J. Bouma

Robert A. Henry

Joseph G. Adams

One Arizona Center

Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202

By s/Joseph A. Kanefield with permission

Joseph A. Kanefield

Office of Governor Janice K. Brewer

1700 W. Washington, 9th Floor

Phoenix, AZ 85007

*Attorneys for Janice K. Brewer, Governor of the  
State of Arizona, and the State of Arizona*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 29th day of July, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing MOTION PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT RULES 27-12 AND 34-3 TO EXPEDITE BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION APPEAL with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system.

I certify that all counsel who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the Appellate CM/ECF System.

/s John J. Bouma

11800365